Species description

Hygrophorus penarioides Jacobsson & E. Larss. sp. nov.

MYCOBANK # MB510527

Misapplication: Hygrophorus penarius Fr. var. penarius ss Bon

Pileus 90-150 mm latus, primo cum umbone lato vel convexus et margine valde involuto, primo pure albus, sed dein in disco plus vel minus cremeopaleaceus, glaber, subviscidus vel siccus. Lamellae decurrentes, distantes vel distantissimae, primo albae, sed deinde cum colore cremeopaleaceo vel modice roseo. Stipes 60-100 x 15-35 mm, firmus et confertus, generatim deorsum valde attenuatus, albus, sed deorsum quidem cum colore cremeopaleaceo vel ochraceo, in summa parte tenuiter floccosus. Sapor mitis vel paene mitis. Odor levis et difficilis ad describendum (oleosus vel dulcidulus). Sporae late ellipsoides vel ovatae, (5-)5.5-6.5(-7) x 4-4.5 μm. Q = 1.3-1.6. Basidia graciliter clavata, 50-60 x 6-8 μm, quadrispora. Pileipellis crassa ixocutis ex 3-6 μm latis hyphis. Habitatio: sub Querco/Corylo in terra calcarea. Certe mycorrhizam formans cum Quercu.

Holotypus—Sweden, Öland, Långlöt parish, N Ismantorp, 1 Oct. 1994., SJ 94067: in herbarium GB concervatus est.

Etymology-Similar to penarius

Pileus 90-150 mm broad, when young with a broad umbo or convex with a strongly involute margin, pure white at first but with age becoming more or less cream in centre, smooth, subviscid to dry. Lamellae decurrent, distant to very distant, white at first but later with a cream or slightly pinkish flush. Stipe $60\text{-}100 \times 15\text{-}35 \text{ mm}$, firm and compact, mostly strongly attenuated towards the base, white but at least in lower part with a cream or ochraceous flush, finely floccose in the uppermost part, taste mild or almost so. Odour present, but weak and difficult to describe (oily or sweetish). Basidiospores broadly ellipsoid to ovoid, $(5\text{-})5.5\text{-}6.5(-7) \times 4\text{-}4.5 \text{ μm}$. Q = 1.3-1.6. Basidia slenderly clavate, $50\text{-}60 \times 6\text{-}8 \text{ μm}$, 4-spored clamped. Hyphae with clamps. Gill edge fertile. Pileipellis a thick ixocutis of 3-6 μm broad hyphae.

with a Q value of 1.3-1.6 compared to 1.5-1.8 in *H. penarius*. There seems also to be a difference in odour but this is difficult to indicate as it is weak and may be differently perceived. Becker (1954) and Bon (1977) mention some other differences: *H. penarius* is said to be finely scaly towards the edge of the pileus (hence the name *barbatulus*) and to have a fully mild taste whilst *H. penarioides* is said to be smooth and to have a bitter taste. However, these characters do not seem to be constant. Only some of our collections of *H. penarius* are slightly appressed scaly while others look smooth and no bitter taste was perceived in the single collection of *H. penarioides* tested.

The North American species Hygrophorus sordidus is also similar to H. penarioides. H. sordidus also has very large basidiomes and the spores seem to be identical with those of H. penarioides. As H. sordidus is said to grow in oak/hickory woods (Hesler & Smith 1963) we first suspected it to be identical with the taxon connected with Quercus in Europe. Some collections of the species were borrowed from the Herbarum of University of Michigan (MICH). The only observed morphological difference between H. penarioides and H. sordidus is that the lamellae in H. sordidus seem to be closer, however we have not been able to study fresh material of the species. The large sequence divergence between them clearly indicates that they should be looked upon as distinct species.

Habitat under Quercus/Corylus on calcareous ground. Fruiting time (in Scandinavia) Sept. - Oct., forming ectomycorrhiza with Quercus.

Additional collections: Sweden: Öl, Långlöt, Ismantorp, SO Vargmossen, 2 Oct. 1998, S. Jacobsson, SJ 98040; Vg., Österplana, 2 Oct. 1982, J. Jeppson 2158; Gtl., Lojsta, 21 Sep. 2000, HG. Thoresson.

Discussion

In the present study ITS sequence data confirm the occurrence of the two species H. penarius and H. penarioides in Europe. Hygrophorus penarioides is very similar to H. penarius in many aspects, e.g. the colours, the subviscid to dry pileus surface, the distant and decurrent lamellae and the robust habitus. Despite these facts it is not difficult to distinguish the two species. Apart from the different ecology there are clear morphological differences: H. penarioides has in average considerably larger basidiomes and the spores are slightly shorter

with a Q value of 1.3-1.6 compared to 1.5-1.8 in *H. penarius*. There seems also to be a difference in odour but this is difficult to indicate as it is weak and may be differently perceived. Becker (1954) and Bon (1977) mention some other differences: *H. penarius* is said to be finely scaly towards the edge of the pileus (hence the name *barbatulus*) and to have a fully mild taste whilst *H. penarioides* is said to be smooth and to have a bitter taste. However, these characters do not seem to be constant. Only some of our collections of *H. penarius* are slightly appressed scaly while others look smooth and no bitter taste was perceived in the single collection of *H. penarioides* tested.

The North American species Hygrophorus sordidus is also similar to H. penarioides. H. sordidus also has very large basidiomes and the spores seem to be identical with those of H. penarioides. As H. sordidus is said to grow in oak/hickory woods (Hesler & Smith 1963) we first suspected it to be identical with the taxon connected with Quercus in Europe. Some collections of the species were borrowed from the Herbarum of University of Michigan (MICH). The only observed morphological difference between H. penarioides and H. sordidus is that the lamellae in H. sordidus seem to be closer, however we have not been able to study fresh material of the species. The large sequence divergence between them clearly indicates that they should be looked upon as distinct species.



Figure 2. Fruitbodies of Hygrophorus penarioides. Foto Leif Stridvall.

In addition to Fig. 2 good illustrations of *H. penarioides* are found in the literature, e.g. in Cetto (1978: pl. 659), Marchand (1973: pl. 155) and Michael et al. (1979), all under the name *H. penarius*.

In Sweden H. penarioides has been collected or observed at localities on the calcareous islands Öland and Gotland in the Baltic sea, always in Quercus/Corylus woods. It has also been collected on Kinnekulle in the province of Västergötland, where the same type of calcareous bed-rock and vegetation occurs. Two collections of H. penarius from Quercus forests in Norway are preserved in Herbarium O. Very likely these in fact represent H. penarioides. To our knowledge it has not been collected in the other Nordic countries. The Swedish and Norwegian localities are apparently northern outposts of a species widespread in central and southern Europe (e.g. southern Germany, France and Italy) and seemingly not rare. Bon (1977) states that it is common in Mediterranean oak woods.

Acknowledgements

We thank the curator of the Herbarium MICH for loan of collections and Hans-Jörgen Winqvist for help with the latin diagnosis. Financial support was received from The Swedish Taxonomy Initiative, ArtDatabanken SLU, to EL (Grant dha 146/05). We are also grateful to Drs G. Gulden, Oslo and H. Knudsen, Copenhagen, for presubmittal reviews the manuscript.

Literature cited

Arnolds E. 1986. Notes on Hygrophoraceae - VII. - Persoonia 13: 69–76.

Becker G. 1954. Un nouvel Hygrophore: Hygrophorus barbatulus. Schweiz. Z. Pilzk. 32: 91-92.

Bon M. 1977. Clé analytique des Hygrophoraceae (suite). Documents mycologiques 7(27–28): 25–53.

Bon M. 1992. Die Großpilzflora von Europa 1. Hygrophoraceae. Übersetzt und bearbetet von A. Einhellinger. IHW-Verlag, Eching.

Cetto B. 1978. Der grosse Pilzführer. Band 2. Trento.

Fries E. 1836. Anteckningar öfver de i Sverige växande ätliga Svampar.

Fries E. 1838. Epicrisis Systematis Mycologici.

Gardes M, Bruns TD. 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes – application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. Molecular Ecology 2: 113–118.

Hesler LR, Smith AH. 1963. North American species of Hygrophorus. Knoxville.

Krieglsteiner GJ. 2001. Die Großpilze Baden-Württembergs, Band 3. Verlag Eugen-Ulmer, Pliezhausen.

Larsson E, Jacobsson S. 2004. Controversy over Hygrophorus cossus settled using ITS sequence data from 200 year-old type material. Mycological Research 108: 781–786.

Marchand A. 1973. Champignons du nord et du midi 2. Perpignan.

Michael E, Hennig B, Kreisel H. 1979. Handbuch für Pilzfreunde. Vol. 3. Jena.

Swofford DL. 2003. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (* and Other Methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.

White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds) PCR Protocols: a guide to Methods and Applications, pp. 315–322. Academic Press New York.